

CANDOUR

*The British
Views - Letter*

To defend national sovereignty against the menace of international finance

Volume XLVII Number 9

September 1994

AFRICA - AFORE - AND - AFTERTHOUGHTS

Always where a war is alleged to be over and the political "captains" and military "kings" are departing to their peace conferences and "victory parades", the media pundits rush to their typewriters and microphones and the flow of celebratory story-telling resumes and continues in spate until fact and fiction have been welded into "faction" and it is time for the then somewhat surfeited readers, listeners and lookers to be confronted with the bill they will be forced to foot from "here to eternity".

The late engagement - if that is the right word - in South Africa has been no exception. Never, it seems, has so much, been written by so many to so little apparent purpose. Only when the liberal worldlings and communist "freedom fighters" were rejoicing at the imminent, long laboured for, final demise of the "evil oppression of the apartheid system" and the dawning of the new multiracial day on "the broad sunlit uplands" of South Africa did the British media release all the hitherto stifled doubts, dire warnings and whispered prophecies of possible chaos to come.

On the 2nd April 1994, *The Times* published a letter from Sir Frederic Bennett:

Sir, Your leader of March 29, entitled "Blood in Johannesburg" and headed "How many more warnings does Buthelezi need?", was unperceptive in much of its substance and personally unfair to the Chief Minister.

Mr Buthelezi has done his best to curtail inter-tribal violence between Zulus and Xhosas, which has caused the deaths of thousands of the former during recent years, in addition to at least 475 undenied and undeniable specific targeted assassinations of leading senior Inkatha party activists.

On March 26 Mr de Klerk publicly paid tribute to the Chief Minister's efforts to bring to an end what he referred to as the "growing low-intensity war" that has gone on since 1985: but the State President added that tensions were now at such a high level on the ground that it was difficult for any of the leaders to prevent further bloodshed, especially during the next few weeks.

Furthermore, in my own hearing last week in Ulundi, the chairman of the independent electoral commissioners, Mr Justice Kriegler, openly stressed that it was his duty under his commission's terms of reference to protect the rights and security of those political groups and individuals that had decided, quite lawfully, to boycott the elections, as well as of those who wanted to vote.

The general mood of the great majority of the Zulu people is not to take part in a process which they are convinced would lead simply to the replacement of an alien, white centrist authoritarian political system by an equally distrusted alien black one.

Their apprehensions are not unreasonable in the light of what has happened almost everywhere in the Dark Continent in the wake of the abandonment of political power by their former colonialist rulers: one man - one vote - *one* election.

INTERNATIONAL PARIAH

From this, one would suppose that circumstances remaining the same, which they did, the Zulu people would *not* participate in a process, which in its own words, "would lead simply to a replacement of an alien, white political system by an equally distrusted black one. But they *did* take part. What pressure was put on Mr. Buthelezi and his king to achieve that about turn?

For the answers to that one, just look back to February this year, to remember, still from *The Sunday Times*, that Buthelezi again rejected renewed efforts of Mandela to draw him into the multiracial elections planned for April. "This unyielding resistance has turned the Inkatha leader, *once feted by Western Industrialists and politicians, into an internationalist pariah*" (our italics); and here comes the punchline:

"Funds which once flowed into the Zulu chief's coffers at Ulundi,..... have all but dried up. Just as it faces the final fight against the ANC - nationalist plan for South Africa. Inkatha is virtually bankrupt." No prizes for guessing who the Western Industrialists are, and the politicians are obviously irrelevant.

That particular item was not repeated in *The Sunday Times* when the next day it came up with these unusually unequivocal statements:

"There is no way that the elections are by any standard going to be free or fair. The campaign is a bloody mess."

The ANC's "intolerance to criticism and its adherence to communist ideology means South Africa will be a virtual one party state."

"Mr. Mandela, who favours compromise remains hostage to the communists around him and has displayed weak leadership."

After these realistic and depressing conclusions, the reporter's idealistically unrealistic solution was: "using international mediation, Led by Henry Kissinger and Lord Carrington they should give serious thought to the formation of a confederation of South African states where frightened minorities and tribes..... are given opportunities to govern themselves. Referendums (sic) could be held to judge support for such moves."

Well, well..... so *Candour* is vindicated at last, by *The Sunday Times*! The reason for these belated, still guarded, and finally naive statements and suggestion, must be the extreme probability of: "The alternative..... would be to repeat the same mistake made across the rest of colonial Africa and will bring the same bloody result: Decades of ethnic strife and unnecessary deaths of thousands".

Where now is the 'unjustly persecuted' hero Mandela? The darling of the civilised world is recognised as "a hostage of the Communists", less of a hostage and more of a willing tool we say. Either way, what could be more damning? And what more fatuous than *The Sunday Times* "solution": to call upon Kissinger, late of the K.G.B. and Carrington, the usurers' perambulating peer to inaugurate a "referendum to judge the amount of support for a confederated South Africa".

Puzzle: name a referendum held to judge anything anywhere in the world that resulted in a defeat for the Money Power and led to insurrection against the manipulated decision.

And what is new about a one party state on the morrow of "liberation".

WHOM THE BELL TOLD

Candour has lately been sent a booklet entitled: *The Founders of the New South Africa*; the author, who sent it to us is Ja Marais, leader of the H.N.P. of S.A. The front cover has six photographs above the title; they are: Henry Kissinger, John Vorster, Margaret Thatcher, P.W. Botha, Joe Slovo and F.W. de Klerk. These, presumably, in the mind of the author are the founders of the New South Africa of the title. If this is the case, nothing could be more misleading. Except for the ubiquitous Kissinger, they are merely the temporary tools of the malign power that pursues its devious way to what it intends to be world domination with implacable determination to destroy even the most ineffectual will to oppose it.

The founders of the "New South Africa" are the same as the founders of the "new" India, Pakistan, Australasia, Canada and every other last particle of European erstwhile imperially ruled empires, dominions, colonies or protectorates:- the Zionist usurers who have tricked, blackmailed or bribed their witting or unwitting collaborators into doing their subversive will and destroyed by assassination, either physical or financial, those few doughty spirits who could not be corrupted.

Ja Marais opens his "background to the revolutionary developments in South Africa", by putting the reason for the beginning of the acceleration of the momentum, now culminated in the political power of the communist controlled A.N.C., down to the assassination in 1966 of Dr. H.F. Verwoerd, South African Prime Minister. His theme being that Verwoerd had brought his country to an unassailable position both politically and financially by breaking the British connection in making South Africa a republic and leaving the Commonwealth in 1962. He writes repeatedly of "British-American imperialists" and "prominent businessmen and industrialists in South Africa" exerting pressure, without emphasising who those "prominent businessmen" were and still are, and where the pressure originated.

In the issue of *Candour* of 5th February, 1960, A.K. Chesterton wrote a leader headed *Republicans and Sinners* in which he reported on his first sight and sound of Dr. Verwoerd, Prime Minister of the Union of South Africa: "..... sitting, immobile, expressionless except for the occasional hint of a sardonic smile, listening to the cheap, trashy jargon of Dr. Steyther leader of the Progressive Party, who spoke in support of the "no confidence motion" in the Union Parliament. It was not difficult to accept as accurate Verwoerd's reputation as a dour, unyielding Hollander, unimaginative, obsessed, wooden. But that impression could not survive the few opening sentences of his speech. The wooden, impassive figure became fluid: the man spoke with his entire body, and his apparent dourness turned to gaiety and charm as he made short work of the ineffable Steyther. I was reminded of some first-rate German comedian - this is meant in no derogatory sense - genially wise-cracking at members of the audience. It was, in other words, a magnificent histrionic performance. 'What an actor!' I thought. 'What a politician!'"

"Verwoerd, without any significant change of manners, then announced to an astonished House his decision to secure a mandate for the establishment of a South African Republic by means of a referendum, the result of which, even by one vote, would decide the issue. Those who do not know the man or who did not see the performance, no doubt pictured a grim-visaged fanatic, smouldering with anti-British hatred, declaring war on the British Crown. Instead, Verwoerd, to all outward seeming might have stepped straight out of the *Pickwick Papers*. It became immediately clear to me that a tactic was being employed on that occasion for a special political purpose, and I wondered what it could be."

A.K. went on to explain: as Afrikaner nationalism had been a factor in the political life of the Union since the original split between Botha and Hertzog, it stood to reason that the avowal of a republican aim was not the cause of the astonishment, nor could there be any surprise about the proposal of a single vote decision since nationalist governments had already "made mincemeat" of the entrenched clauses of the Union's constitution. The surprise was wholly over the timing of the announcement. "No mention of the subject was made in the speech from the Throne. It came in the middle of a "no confidence" debate - a bolt from the blue. Recalling Verwoerd's benign manner, I found myself suddenly possessed of the key to the mystery. Notice was given of the imminence of practical measures to establish a South African Republic so that the ineffable Macmillan, due to arrive in the country a week later, would by the mere fact of his presence seem, if not to condone, then certainly to show no animosity towards, the proposal to divorce the peoples of South Africa from their allegiance to the Crown."

"By such means English speaking South Africans, the weak-minded among them at least, would tend to be reassured. If Harold Macmillan (then the Queen's first minister - Ed) "makes pretty speeches to the men who are planning to reject their lawful sovereign, then - so the foolish could be trusted to argue - there cannot be much the matter with those men or what they propose to do"

Thus A.K. The rest of the story can be summarised:

The trick worked. Macmillan himself exuberantly announced the advent of "the wind of change". South Africa went the way of Kenya and Tanganyika to be followed by Nigeria, Somaliland and the Rhodesias. Everywhere the British flag was lowered where "once it proudly flew."

As is now known to everyone who has reached the age of political reason - and not lost it in the welter of disintegrating empires - nobody wanted these debacles except the self estimated Super Mac and his fellow American and British cyphers doing their stints for the Lords of Usury - the Dollar Emperors of New York. This is the power behind all the nation-destroying manoeuvres designed to give it the world hegemony, according to Satanic promise....."all these kingdoms will I give thee if falling down thou wilt adore me." When the answer was "Get thee behind Me Satan", the devil took his wares to a more appreciative market. But he is still trying to deliver the goods.

In the light of subsequent history, A.K. Chesterton is vindicated in his explanation of both the success and the assassination of Dr. Verwoerd. The International Money Power in its relentless pursuit of One World will keep to its most expedient time table. It is now seen that the South African / British split was then as necessary as the imperial bond had been whilst the colonial empire was still in the process of dismemberment. In 1960, the time was ripe to start on the African take-over. Verwoerd was allowed to live until he had created a pattern for Ian Smith to copy. And we know what happened to her. Zimbabwe!

Dr. Verwoerd was no liberal and Ian Smith was but the Zionist Money Power is dominating both betrayed countries.

On page 22 of his *The Founders of South Africa* Ja Marais says:

"When Dr. Verwoerd was struck down on September 6th, 1966, it was a blow to the heart of South Africa. What was started by a Communist on that day was continued by John Vorster, P.W. Botha and F.W. Klerk to deliver South Africa to a Communist-controlled Black government 28 years after September 6th, 1966.

It appears that after twenty-eight years, Afrikaners *still* do not recognise their enemy.

If you do not know your enemy, you will never fight him and so never defeat him.

Remember Kruger's dictum: 1899

"If it were conceivable to eject the Jew monopolist from this country, neck and crop, without incurring war with Great Britain then the problem of everlasting peace would be solved."

As we have said before: only South Africans can save South Africa. Britons Britain and the same everywhere in the world.

This is no cause for despair. If we believe in *THE* Resurrection, all sorts of other resurrections, including national ones, become not only possible but probable.

R. de B.

EUROPE TOMORROW

by Andrei Krylienکو

Month after month, in one place after another, the fiftieth anniversary of the Normandy landing is the object of grandiose celebrations. The grounds for the celebrations? The invasion was, it is true, the biggest operation of its kind in history. The war of which it opened the final campaign was likewise the most murderous of all time. Begun in 1914 and continued in the terms of the 1919 Versailles Treaty which ensured the resumption of the hostilities, by its end in 1945 and including fall-outs, it could boast a round hundred million dead.

On the other hand, the war was neither desired by the belligerents, nor did it further their real interests. In 1914, the outbreak was the result of confusion deliberately fostered by politicians acting in obedience to occult directives. In 1916, the Central Powers' peace offers were refused under the same obedience. In 1939, Chamberlain's reluctance to declare war was overcome by giving him a false report that Hitler had delivered Poland an ultimatum and ordered his troops to march. In 1941, Rudolf Hess flew to England on a peace mission and was promptly imprisoned. (In 1987, when it became certain that, in his 94th year and after 46 years of inhuman solitary confinement, Hess was going to be freed, he was brutally murdered in his Berlin prison by order of British military intelligence with American C.I.A. approval, lest he contradict official history.)¹ The war, perhaps the most senseless of all time, was fought out to its vicious end in unconditional surrender.

Cui Bono? Who benefited? The 1914-18 campaign destroyed the Central European and Russian Empires, the basic structure of Europe's heartland; the 1939-45 sequel dug the graves of the British and French Empires, which had dominated

the international scene since the end of the seventeenth century. Thereafter, Europe, safe for Democracy, was one half enslaved by communist tyranny and the other half under the heel of the Money Power mafia.

It was difficult for anyone who had the destiny of Europe at heart to find cause for rejoicing in the Allied "victory", unless it were in the almost miraculous escape of the Old World - thanks to Stalin's overweening pride - from the total dissolution for which the Money Power had originally planned and brought about the war.

In 1648, another war, which had also lasted thirty years, had culminated in the division of a once spiritually united Europe against itself. By the Treaty of Westphalia, the Catholic and Protestant nations of Europe agreed to disagree. In 1689, the "Glorious Revolution", financed by Amsterdam Jewish bankers placed William III of Orange on the throne of England and made him and his Parliament dependent on the Bank of England, through which the Money Power governed both. The balance of power consequent on the establishment of the Protestant ascendancy in England made the division of Europe irrevocable.

OCCULT OVERLORDS

In 1717, modern Freemasonry, sponsored by Jewry, was founded to organise a secret parallel administration in preparation for the ultimate take-over of all governments by the Money Power. In 1773, in Frankfurt, Amschel Mayer Rothschild, convened a meeting of twelve prominent bankers and industrialists, with whom he launched his scheme for Jewish world government. Three years later, Adam Weishaupt with a few more Jews founded the Illuminati, whose nihilistic creed - hard core communism - was disseminated through illuminised Freemasonry. In 1848, Marx, practising Satanist, published the Communist Manifesto. In 1917, Jewish bankers financed, and Freemasons directed, the Bolshevik Revolution, which handed Russia over to Jewry as a base for the spread of Communism worldwide. Between the wars, Jewish-American bankers armed and industrialised the Soviet Union, equipping it to implement the Rothschild world government project.

In the 1930s, the Federal Reserve Board had raked the world's money so effectively into its coffers that there was not enough left in circulation to turn the wheels of the economy. There were two ways of ending the slump, monetary reform, putting the usurers out of business, or world war, financed by lending the money back into circulation. The world's statesmen were allowed no choice. Obeying their master's voice, Churchill, despite his denunciation of the Illuminati in 1920, engineered the British declaration of war, and Roosevelt, forgetting the promise he had made on his election that he would "drive the moneychangers out of the Temple", in due course brought America in as well.

Towards the end of the war, Stalin, aware that he was only being used by the Money Power to propagate communism, but unaware that America already possessed the atom bomb, thought to outwit his occult overlords and head a worldwide communist empire of his own. As a warning to bring him to order, bombs were dropped on Nagasaki and Hiroshima, although Japan was already defeated and on the point of suing for peace.

After Stalin's attempted defection, the plan to share the world with the Soviet Union was shelved. Liberalism replaced Communism as the creed of the future world establishment. Liberalism had the merit of allowing a semblance of freedom to any and every opinion while in fact, by the party system and administrative corruption, overriding them all. The Conspirators had not given up their aim but were approaching it by another route.

Without minimising the heroism of the soldiers, sailors and airmen who participated in the D-Day landing, or depriving survivors of the tribute due to them, and not forgetting the greater tribute due to the memory of those who gave their lives, commemoration of the 1944 carnage could well be an appropriate occasion to recall that not only Frenchmen and Germans, but all Christian peoples have infinitely, repeat infinitely, more in common with each other than they have with the Jewish bankers whose machinations set them at loggerheads, financing both sides in their conflicts and battenning on the blood of the victims.

According to the Talmud, the Jews, a superior race to whom the world and all its wealth belongs, are entitled to use any means, fair or foul, to obtain their "heritage". The current aim of the Conspirators is to replace civilisation, the order created by the Church in the service and for the glory of God, Whose Kingdom is not of this world, by their "New Order", society restructured for the glory of Jewry, ruling the Kingdom of this world. The elimination of Christianity is therefore a must for the Money Power.

The attack on Christianity has been both direct and indirect. The mass slaughter of Catholics in Sudan and Rwanda, the attempt to swamp Catholic Croatia with Bosnian Moslems and the choice of Nagasaki as a target for the atom bomb because it had the largest Catholic population of any city in Japan, are only minor examples which could be multiplied indefinitely throughout the world both now and in the past.

Jews have taken part in most of the subversive activities of European history, the intellectual revolution of the Renaissance, the religious revolution of the Reformation and successive political revolutions. In the eighteenth century Freemasonry infiltrated the clergy. In the nineteenth, it penetrated the Vatican. In the twentieth from John XXIII onwards, the "popes", either Jews or Freemasons or both, and heretics to boot, have advocated ecumenism as a substitute for Catholicism, in the hope of leading the peoples into their own spiritual desert and clearing the way for global tyranny in a Jewish world State.

The future. The French writer Andre Malraux, one time Minister of Education, said that the twenty-first century would be religious or would not be. He doubtless had in mind the universal "religion" of Freemasonry, a marriage of rationalism and Cabbalistic sorcery in a gnostic deification of man, the same age-old temptation for which Adam and Eve fell when Satan told them they could be "like gods".

The statement of the French Minister was, none the less, tacit admission of the impossibility of making laws in the absence of a common faith providing common moral standards for the laws to enforce. This admission has far-reaching

consequences. As the subject of religion is truth and truth is one, true religion can only be one. As truth is also unchanging, sects and schismatic Churches, by definition innovative, cannot be true religion.

Is it too much to hope that the present hour of trial may bring sectarians and schismatics to recognise, as their forebears recognised before they separated from Rome, that there is no salvation outside the Catholic Church? Were they and traditional Catholics to come together on some such common ground, Providence would surely bless them with a Pastor who is no mercenary, as the first step towards the rebirth of a Christian Europe in which Europeans would no longer fight each other and civilisation could flourish again.

¹ See, Wolf Rudiger Hess, *Le combat d'un fils pour l'honneur de son pere*, in *Revue d'histoire non-conformiste*, English version in *The Journal of Historical Review*, P.O. Box 2739, Newport Beach, California 92659.

WHO SAID OR WROTE WHAT: WHEN: ABOUT WHOM:

- (1). "He either fears his fate too much, or his desserts are small, that dare not put it to the touch, to win or lose it all".
- (2). "My people and I have come to an agreement which satisfies us both. They are to say what they please, and I am to do what I please.
- (3). "Ulster will fight: Ulster will be right."
- (4). "Usura rusteth the chisel. It rusteth the craft and the craftsmen."
- (5). "Regions Caesar never knew, thy posterity shall sway, where the eagles never flew, none invincible as they."
- (6). "I go the way that providence dictates with the assurance of a sleepwalker."
- (7). "These works" (derelict steel works) "brought all these people here. Something must be done to find them work."
- (8). "From a very early age, I had imbibed the opinion, that it was everyman's duty to do all that lay in his power to leave his country as good as he found it."
- (9). "After the war we shall all, I suppose, take our order from America. The war has secured the world to America. I don't say Roosevelt has deliberately cornered the world, but American big business thinks in world terms. It pursues its designs on a world scale. America is everywhere. America has everything. She maintains and She owns us."
- (10). "The allies have only one object, which is to win the war..... "There is no dishonour we will not descend to, no Christian principle we will not violate, no crime we will not commit, in order to achieve that object."

Answers on Page 7.

CUT AND THRUST

WHO ARE THE PUBLIC ?

It seems that, for the modern advertising executive to gain fame, and most certainly fortune, he or she has to think up ever more outrageous forms of advertising; after *Benetton* we find a Portuguese ad agency portraying Carmelite nuns kissing bottles of *Royal Crown Cola* in a sensual manner whilst in other advertisements for the same product there is an allusion to the Third Secret of Fatima. The Third Secret being, of course, that part of the message told to the young shepherdess, Lucy, by the Virgin Mary, which many Catholics believe relates to the worldwide apostasy of the Catholic Church; certainly *not* a subject for a soft drinks advertisement. And where is this campaign particularly strong? Why, but the town of Fatima! Francis Lamprea, Vice President of Marketing of *Royal Crown Cola* states; "..... *Royal Crown Cola* is an international brand and wants to appeal to all religions, races, genders and cultures we strive to gain attention for our advertising in all our markets, but not at the expense of offending the public." Another campaign in Portugal run at the same time as the Cola ads showed, with the aid of trick photography, the statue of Jesus Christ which stands above Rio de Janeiro harbour, holding a glass of beer to his lips; the slogan underneath the picture translates as "When the dry spell is long."

As said in a letter to the *Daily Telegraph*, in response to a cider advertisement showing a tractor having just run over a hedgehog: It required "an unconscionable agency to devise it; a warped client to approve it; and an utterly uncaring executive who was prepared to accept it." Precisely. And *Cut and Thrust* will add to that saying: "and a totally apathetic populace which is not only prepared to accept it", but will buy the product to boot!

CAN WE HAVE ONE?

The Jews from Barnet, North London, have been going from ghetto to ghetto to get *eruv* under their feet. The Borough of Barnet to which the areas of Golders Green and Hendon belong, will be home to the concept of an *eruv*, this being a bounded area in which Orthodox Jews, on their Sabbath day, can treat public space as if it were private, so that, for instance, a Jew will be allowed to carry keys and handkerchiefs, push prams etc. on his way to the Synagogue, something not normally allowed to them by religious law. This is not just a symbolic area, the *eruv* in Barnet will have 20ft. poles with wire and cover

an 11 mile perimeter. The decision to allow the *eruv* by the Environment Secretary, Mr. John Gummer was made apparently on purely town planning grounds (of course!). This judgement, supported by Mrs. Eva Greenspan (no comedians, please) a member of Barnet's Planning Committee said: "It had to be judged solely on planning grounds, and I could see no planning grounds for refusal." Other *eruv*s are on the way due to the success of this application. This begs the question; Can *we* have a form of *eruv*? A bounded area where *we* can carry on with *our* way of life. We suggest the Isle of Dogs in London as a start, the formation of a white protectorate bounded at intervals with the Flag of St. George and presided over by a benign Dictator sounds fine to us, and we can see "no planning grounds for refusal"!

YOUTH DEFENCE SPEAK AT FOREST HOUSE



On Saturday the 10th of September Niamh Nic Mhathuna (pictured left), representing Youth Defence, a militant anti-abortion group in the Republic of Ireland spoke to a gathering at Forest House. The meeting was attended by thirty Candour readers and other pro-life nationalists.

For the last two years Youth Defence has been the foremost group organising the fight against the murder of unborn children in the Republic. Their demonstrations in Dublin have been attended by thousands of people, mainly young, and have also attracted the attention of the London based thugs of Red Action who have assaulted pro-life activists on the streets there.

Because of the success of its activities Youth Defence became the primary target of the new Irish Public Order Act (1994). This draconian act gives the courts the power to impose massive fines and heavy prison sentences against those picketing abortion clinics or the homes of abortionists.

Indeed soon before Niamh came to England to speak specifically to the meeting at Forest House she and another Youth Defence activist were arrested in Galway Town on July 30th and charged under the new Act. Their crime? Leafleting in the town and displaying information boards with photographs of the horrendous results of abortion! (We are pleased to inform Candour readers that this case was thrown out of court at the

first appearance on September 21st).

At the Forest House meeting Niamh spoke eloquently about the struggle for life in Eire and outlined the plans for Youth Defence in the future. Niamh also stressed above all that it is the efforts of a determined minority who by their actions, courage and example can not *only* hold the line but advance toward the enemy in the face of massive odds.

Once again Candour has proven that it is possible to organise with and have dialogue with Comrades from throughout the world on matters of vital importance without borders interfering.

Youth Defence can be contacted at:- 57 Barton Road, Churchtown, Dublin, Republic of Ireland.

LET US START TO LEARN AND RETHINK

PART FOUR

EDUCATION

by Protagoras

Education today is an area of great anguish and concern in Britain. We should not worry but again look to Africa, India and other Third World countries for guidance. They can teach us plenty in this very important sphere too. Our idealists agonise because in spite of all their efforts to destroy the competitive spirit, all children are still not achieving on an equal scale. Some as a result still feel inferior. How can we achieve the desired state of complete equality? Well any one who has experience of teaching in Central Africa will soon tell you that the problem has been solved there. Out there one never dare publicly to publish the results of any exam or test that may have been held in one's classroom, for fear that a child from a warrior tribe might come below one from what was once, and is still considered by the Africans, as a slave tribe or an inferior tribe. That would be asking for a riot. So every child is given its results privately. No one else knows who is where so no one can come out on top. Every one is the best. How useful in our status ridden society. All coming top, think of all the delighted parents, what successful teachers they would think, what a contented public we would have; no more education rows. The great education debate ended. As for women teachers knowing more than even a young boy; unheard of in African society a woman knowing more than a man even a young man never. So no more women teachers for boys or men, thus we will soon have no teacher unemployment. Teachers pay too is a cause of much complaint. Ours are notoriously underpaid for the long hours that they do. Some Third World countries have solved this one too. If you want to pass an exam you must pin a good wad on to your paper. How this would help us here in Britain. No more underpaid teachers. Think too of all the successful students. No more failures, no more endless demands from teachers for more pay. Why it would encourage

teachers to set as many examinations as possible. No more moaning over tests. In Bangladesh recently students rioted over the right to cheat in examinations, quite right too. They will have no failures there unlike us. Think of all the qualified people we could churn out if all could cheat at the examinations. Our worries gone at a flash. Our future technology assured.

A SUMMARY OF THESE FOUR SUGGESTED GUIDE LINES FOR BRITAIN

Bless the idealists who in their far seeing wisdom have so hard over the years tried to get we stupid British to learn from the Third World. To seek from out there the great satisfying philosophies that we have never in our ignorance realised, but have just gone on blundering along in our own set of foolish British ways. Think what a wonderful country we would have by now if only that we had learnt to value their contributions and realised how much they have by way of example to offer, if only we would drop our out dated biased traditions. We have been so blind that we have not seen that they have all the answers there for us.

But wait, what do we hear? Voices suggesting in horror that cannibalism was and is an unacceptable evil and we were right to try to stamp it out. That it arouses deep horror in every civilised person!

To suggest that we copy the evil Indian caste system is unthinkable, inhuman! That to hold that the frightful massacres in post Colonial Africa can never be thought of but as a stain on mankind. To even think that we should use terror, murder and arson in our democratic process is unthinkable. To condone cheating, bribery and corruption among our young is evil Oh dear, yet these shocked voices come from the very idealists who told us so hard and often that the colonial rule that tried to stamp them all out was wrong and that the people should be free to run themselves. Are these people now saying that there was plenty of good in the colonial days. That these voices now do not want to learn from the Third World they helped to create? Tut tut, let them go and read some modern history books that describe the glories of pre colonial Africa. Let them go and see the film Gandhi again, let them read a few Christian Aid books. That will cheer them up again.

WAR IS STILL A RACKET

Major General Smedley Butler (1881-1940) served in the U.S. Marine Corps in the Spanish-American war, numerous U.S. interventions in Latin America, the Boxer Rebellion in China, and World War I. He devoted his last days to campaigning against American entry into World War II. He said:

The trouble is that when American dollars only earn 6% over here it gets restless and goes overseas to get 100%. The flag follows the dollar, and the soldiers follow the flag. I wouldn't go to war again, as I have done, to defend some lousy investment of the bankers.

There isn't a trick in the racketeering bag that the military gang is blind to. It had its 'finger men' to point out enemies, its 'muscle men' to destroy enemies, its 'brain men' to plan war preparations, and a 'Big Boss', supernatural capitalism.

I spent 33 years and 4 months in active military service in the Marines. I helped make Tampico, Mexico safe for the American oil interests in 1914, Cuba and Haiti for the National City Bank boys to collect revenue, in helping purify Nicaragua for the International banking house of Baron Broches in 1909-1912, sugar interests in the Dominican Republic, and in China for Standard Oil to see that it went its way unmolested. *War is a racket!*

Reprinted from: Impact, available from P.O. Box 28233 Sunnyside 0132, Rep. of S. Africa.

IN MEMORIAM

It is with great regret that we have to record the deaths of two stalwarts in the nationalist cause: Professor Revilo Oliver of Urbana, Illinois U.S.A. and Noel Hunt erstwhile member of the Rhodesian Government, then of Camberley, Surrey England. We have presently only space to say that they both fought the good fight to the end and to wish them God speed.

MAY THEY REST IN PEACE.

ANSWERS TO QUIZ:

(1). The First Marquis of Montrose 1731-1800; (2). Frederick the Great of Prussia 1712-1786; (3). Lord Randolph Churchill 1818-1894; (4). Ezra Pound 1895-1972; (5). William Cowper about Boudicea; (6). Adolf Hitler 1889-1945; (7). Edward VIII of Great Britain 1894-1972; (8). William Cobbett 1760-1835; (9). General Smuts. South Africa, 1870-1951; (10). General Eisenhower, Supreme Commander of the Allied Forces, 1894-1969.

ADVERTISEMENTS



RISING BOOKS

The Reverend Denis Fahey was the worlds' leading expert on Freemasonry, One World Government and the growth of Naturalism. Ignored for years by many Nationalists, only now is the brilliance of his writings becoming acknowledged. We now have in stock the following of his works:-

The Kingship of Christ and Organised Naturalism (£7.00) Money Manipulation and Social Order (£5.95)
 The Rulers of Russia (£3.50) The Church and Farming (£7.95)
 Workingmens Guilds of the Middle Ages (translation) (£3.25)
 The Kingship of Christ and the Conversion of the Jewish Nation (£7.75)
 The Kingship of Christ/St. Thomas Aquinas (£8.00)
 The Social Rights of / Jesus Christ the King (translation) (£8.00)
 Waters Flowing Eastward (translation, includes Protocols) (£14.00)
 Rulers of Russia and the Russian Farmers (£3.25)

Please add 10% for Post & Packing and send your orders (or a large stamped SAE for a full list of our wide range of titles) to RISING BOOKS, BCM ITP, LONDON, WC1N 3XX.

FREEDOM VIDEOS

THE WEAVER INCIDENT. 2 HOURS. COLOUR.

The tragic events on Weavers Mountain in North Idaho in 1992 will show you once and for all how far the Zionist Occupation Government (ZOG) of the USA, and its stooges in the police and various "security" agencies will go to silence anyone who tries to live outside its sick system. As if more evidence were needed after the burning alive of Robert Mathews, the men, women and children at Waco and other cases of State terrorism!

This video shows the incredible scenes as an army of some 800 FBI, Police, Army, etc, prepare with tanks, artillery, helicopters and grenade launchers to attack the wooden cabin of White Separatist Randy Weaver, a man accused of possessing 2 illegally sawn-off shotguns! In the process they kill Samuel, Weaver's 14 year old son, and his wife who was shot through the head while standing at the cabin door holding their small baby in her arms. During the eleven days that the siege lasted hundreds of Whites gathered near Weavers Mountain to express their horror and outrage at events. All of this in a country that presumes to lecture the rest of the world about "human rights"!

Available for only £14.99 incl. Post & Packing (cheques or Postal Orders payable to FREEDOM VIDEOS), for a full list of our Revisionist, Nationalist and Music videos send a large stamped SAE to FREEDOM VIDEOS at BM TRUTH, LONDON, WC1N 3XX.



THE UNDERMINING OF THE CATHOLIC CHURCH

Mary Ball Martinez's astounding story of the infiltrators who have gained positions of power in order to undermine, in the name of "progress" and "ecumenism", that which was orthodox and traditional in the Church. We now have a new stock of this title recently imported from Mexico. Only £10 including postage.

THE POLITICAL SOLDIER by Derek Holland.

Finally back in print 10 years after it first appeared. To quote from the new preface "The more or less instant success of *The Political Soldier* in Nationalist circles in England has, much to the immense surprise of the author, continued down to the present day and a new generation of Third Positionists. This success has not been confined to England, but has found its way to those countries where the forces of Revolutionary Nationalism have foreseen the need for this traditional conception of Life and Struggle; and 1994 will witness this spread to both France and Lithuania, the latter being particularly gratifying in the light of developments in Eastern Europe, and the success of the Polish edition published in Warsaw in 1993." Only £2.50 including postage.

Order your copies today of the above titles, make your cheques or postal orders payable to the A.K. CHESTERTON TRUST and send to the CANDOUR address.

Read SPEARHEAD

Published in support of the British National Party. Sample copy £1 from P.O. Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

LEGION TAPES

For a full listing of our Nationalist and Revisionist audio tapes write to Legion Tapes, BCM 6358, London, WC1N 3XX.

CANDOUR SUBSCRIPTION RATES

U.K. £15 OVERSEAS £20 U.S. \$30 AIRMAIL PER ANNUM